

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/601,884	06/24/2003	Guillermo R. Villalobos	NC 84,352	NC 84,352 5995	
	7590 11/01/2004	EXAMINER			
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS)			XU, LI	XU, LING X	
CODE 1008.2	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	OOK AVENUE, S.W. ON, DC 20375-5320		1775		
	11, 150 10575 5520		DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer		10/601,884	VILLALOBOS ET A	۸L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAN INC. DATE AND	Ling X. Xu	1775					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply populated previous properties of the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con	mmunication.				
Status		•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	<u>ptember 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.	•						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-18 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			_				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			• 0				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9) 🗀 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗌 🔏	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priorit</li></ol>			tage				
	application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
ittachman*	(e)							
\ttachment( ) ☐ Notice	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intendence Commence (5	OTO 4421					
) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date	э					
) 🔀 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/2004.		tent Application (PTO-1	52)				
Patent and Tro		o) Li Other	<u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,884

Art Unit: 1775

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 701.
  - II. Claims 6-18, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 314.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by other and materially different product such as sintering and densifying spinel powder using Hot Isostatic Pressing procedure.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. George A. Kap on 9/10/2004, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1775

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 2-3 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 1 recites that the product is transparent to light and is devoid of grains of exaggerated size. The term "transparent" is not defined in claim 1 and may be interpreted as transparency being more than 50%. Claim 3 recites that the transparency being in excess of 50%, which do not further limit the transparency recited in claim 1. Also, the term "devoid of grains of exaggerated size" is not defined in claim 1 and may be interpreted as being less than 200% of the average sized grain. Claims 2-3 recite that the grains are less than about 300% of the average-sized grain, which do not further limit the grains size recited in claim 1.
- 3. There is an extra claim 2 present between claim 3 and 4, which should be deleted.

Art Unit: 1775

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the term "transparent" is a relative term. The term is not defined by the claim. It is unclear if the term "transparent" in claim 1 is referred to having transparency at least 50% or having transparency of 100%. Also, the term "devoid of grains of exaggerated size" is a relative term and is not defined in claim 1. It is unclear if the term "exaggerated size" is referred to more than 300% or more than 100%-200% of the average sized grain.

The term "average-sized grain" in claims 2-3 is also a relative term. The term is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear what is the size of an "average-sized grain"

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,884

Art Unit: 1775

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sellers et al. (US 3,768,990).

With respect to claims 1-3, Sellers discloses a product comprising a transparent sintered body. The transparent sintered body contains magnesia-alumina spinel having high transparency for a wide range of wavelengths from visible rays to infrared rays including the range of 0.4-7 (Col. 4, lines 1-10).

Sellers also discloses the magnesia-alumina spinel has extremely small particle size well under one micron (col. 2, lines 60-67). Accordingly, the spinel is devoid of grains larger than about 1mm and is devoid of grains of exaggerated sized.

Sellers further discloses that the sintering aid LiF powder is <u>uniformly mixed</u> in the fine spinel powder (col. 3, lines 1-35), which accelerate the densification of the shaped body and provides the sintered body with uniform properties. After the sintering process, the LiF sintering aid would vaporize. Accordingly, the final spinel product is considered essentially devoid of a sintering aid component.

With respect to claims 4-5, Sellers disclose that the spinel, which is considered to be a hard crystalline solid, made by a composition containing equal molar amounts of magnesium oxide and aluminum oxide (abstract). Spinel containing equal molar amounts of magnesium oxide and aluminum oxide has the formula of MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

Art Unit: 1775

Sellers also discloses that LiF function as a densification agent. High densification is important to the attainment of optimum transparency and the other physical characteristics desired (col. 3, lines 20-35). Therefore, the porosity of spinel is considered to be minimum (much less than 0.2%) or in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the spinel as dense as possible in order to obtain an optimum transparency.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling X. Xu whose telephone number is 571-272-1546.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah D. Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ling X. Xu Examiner

Art Unit 1775